

## 9 Derivatives, Part IIa (Differentiation)

### 9.1 Basic proofs

We now prove theorems that make differentiation of a large class of functions easy.

**Theorem 1.** If  $f(x) = c$  then  $f'(a) = 0$  for all  $a$ .

*Intuitively* derivatives measure the rate of change. A constant function doesn't change, thus the derivative is zero.

**Proof:** we already proved this in the previous chapter.

—

**Theorem 2.** If  $f(x) = x$  then  $f'(a) = 1$  for all  $a$ .

*Intuitively*  $f(x)$  grows at exactly the same rate as  $x$ , thus the derivative is 1.

**Proof:**

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{a+h-a}{h} = 1$$

—

**Theorem 3.** If  $f, g$  are differentiable at  $a$ , then  $(f+g)'(a) = f'(a) + g'(a)$ .

*Examples:*

- You have two functions, each modeling growth of some bank account. You want to understand the rate of growth of both accounts.
- You have two different assembly lines producing the same product.  $c_1(x)$  and  $c_2(x)$  model the cost of producing  $x$  units on each assembly line. You want to understand total cost changes as production across both assembly lines increases.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}(f+g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f+g)(a+h) - (f+g)(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) + g(a+h) - f(a) - g(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\ &= f'(a) + g'(a)\end{aligned}$$

—

**Theorem 3a.** If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are differentiable at  $a$ , then:

$$(f_1 + \dots + f_n)'(a) = f_1'(a) + \dots + f_n'(a)$$

**Proof.** This is a fairly straightforward proof by induction. Skipping it here as I've already spent enough time on this chapter.

—

**Theorem 4.** If  $f, g$  are differentiable at  $a$ , then

$$(f \cdot g)'(a) = f'(a) \cdot g(a) + f(a) \cdot g'(a)$$

*Examples:*

- Let  $r_1(t), r_2(t)$  model the length of each side of a rectangle over time. You want to understand the change in area at time  $t$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \cdot g)(a+h) - (f \cdot g)(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)g(a+h) - f(a)g(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)g(a+h) - f(a)g(a) + f(a+h)g(a) - f(a+h)g(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)(g(a+h) - g(a)) + g(a)(f(a+h) - f(a))}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( f(a+h) \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} + g(a) \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(a) \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) \cdot g'(a) + g(a) \cdot f'(a) \end{aligned}$$

Recall from 7.2 that if  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ , then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) = f(a)$ . Thus

$$(f \cdot g)'(a) = f(a) \cdot g'(a) + g(a) \cdot f'(a)$$

—

**Theorem 4a.** If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are differentiable at  $a$ , then:

$$(f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n)'(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(a) \cdot f_i'(a) \cdot f_n(a)$$

**Proof.** This is a fairly straightforward proof by induction. Skipping it here as I've already spent enough time on this chapter.

—  
**Theorem 5.** If  $g(x) = cf(x)$  then  $g'(a) = c \cdot f'(a)$ .

*Examples:*

- Let  $h$  be a height of a rectangle that's constant, and let  $b(t)$  model the length of the base of a rectangle over time. You want to understand the change in area at time  $t$ .

**Proof:** Let  $h(x) = c$  so  $g = h \cdot f$ . Then by theorem 4:

$$\begin{aligned}g'(x) &= h'(x)f(x) + f'(x)g(x) \\ &= 0 \cdot f(x) + cf'(x) \\ &= cf'(x)\end{aligned}$$

—  
**Theorems 1-5 imply:**

$$(-f)'(a) = (-1 \cdot f)'(a) = -f'(a)$$

and

$$(f - g)'(a) = (f + (-g))'(a) = f'(a) + (-g)'(a) = f'(a) - g'(a)$$

—  
**Theorem 6.** If  $f(x) = x^n$  for  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $f'(a) = na^{n-1}$  for all  $a$ .

*Examples:*

- Let  $s(t)$  model the length of the side of a cube over time. You want to understand the change in volume at time  $t$ .

**Proof.** We prove this by induction. For  $n = 1$ ,  $f'(a) = 1$  by theorem 2.

Assume if  $f(x) = x^n$  then  $f'(a) = na^{n-1}$  for all  $a$ .

Let  $I(x) = x$  and let  $g(x) = x^{n+1} = xx^n$ . Then  $g(x) = I(x) \cdot f(x)$ , i.e.  $g = I \cdot f$ . By theorem 4:

$$\begin{aligned}g'(a) &= (I \cdot f)'(a) \\ &= I'(a)f(a) + I(a)f'(a) \\ &= 1 \cdot a^n + a \cdot na^{n-1} \\ &= a^n + na^n \\ &= a^n(1 + n) \\ &= (n + 1)a^n\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6b.** If  $f(x) = x^n$  for  $n < 0$ , then  $f'(a) = na^{n-1}$  for all  $a$ . (In other words, we extend theorem 6 to negative exponents.)

**Proof.** We use theorem 7 below (putting 6b here for learning convenience).

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a) &= \left( \frac{1}{a^{-n}} \right)' \\ &= \frac{nx^{-n-1}}{x^{-2n}} \\ &= nx^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 7.** If  $g$  is differentiable at  $a$  and  $g(a) \neq 0$ , then

$$\left( \frac{1}{g} \right)'(a) = \frac{-g'(a)}{[g(a)]^2}$$

*Examples:*

- Let  $i(d) = \frac{1}{d^2}$  model the intensity of light, which is inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the source. You want to know how intensity changes with distance.

**Proof.** We will prove this by using the derivative definition. However, we must first show  $\left( \frac{1}{g} \right)(a+h)$  is defined for sufficiently small  $h$ . This is easy.

Since  $g$  is differentiable at  $a$  it is continuous at  $a$ . Thus by nonzero neighborhood lemma (see 4.1) there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h| < \delta$  implies  $g(a+h) \neq 0$  for all  $h$ . Thus  $\left( \frac{1}{g} \right)(a+h)$  is defined for sufficiently small  $h$ .

We are now ready to prove the core of the theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{g} \right)(a+h) - \left( \frac{1}{g} \right)(a)}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{g(a+h)} - \frac{1}{g(a)} \right) / h \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{g(a) - g(a+h)}{g(a) \cdot g(a+h)} \right) / h \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a) - g(a+h)}{h \cdot g(a) \cdot g(a+h)} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-[g(a+h) - g(a)]}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{g(a) \cdot g(a+h)} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-[g(a+h) - g(a)]}{h} \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{g(a) \cdot g(a+h)} \end{aligned}$$

Recall from 7.2 that if  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ , then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) = f(a)$ . Thus:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{-[g(a+h) - g(a)]}{h} \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{g(a) \cdot g(a+h)} = -g'(a) \cdot \frac{1}{[g(a)]^2}$$

as desired.

**Theorem 8.** If  $f, g$  are differentiable at  $a$  and  $g(a) \neq 0$ , then

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(a) = \frac{g(a) \cdot f'(a) - f(a) \cdot g'(a)}{[g(a)]^2}$$

*Examples:*

- Let  $e(t), s(t)$  model the number of engineers and sales people at a company over time. You want to understand the change in the ratio between the two.

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(a) &= \left(f \cdot \frac{1}{g}\right)'(a) \\ &= f(a) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{g}\right)'(a) + f'(a) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{g}\right)(a) \\ &= \frac{-g'(a) \cdot f(a)}{[g(a)]^2} + \frac{f'(a)}{g(a)} \\ &= \frac{-g'(a) \cdot f(a) \cdot g(a) + f'(a) \cdot [g(a)]^2}{[g(a)]^3} \\ &= \frac{f'(a) \cdot g(a) - g'(a) \cdot f(a)}{[g(a)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

## 9.2 Chain rule

The derivative of composed functions is considerably more complicated, and so deserves its own section. We'll prove this in two stages. First, we'll attempt a proof with a few false starts that will point us in the direction of a real proof. Once the direction becomes clear, we'll abandon our first draft and write a clean proof from scratch.

**Theorem 9 (the chain rule).** If  $g$  is differentiable at  $a$ , and  $f$  is differentiable at  $g(a)$ , then

$$(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$$

*Examples:*

- Let  $a(t)$  model altitude of a rocket over time, and let  $p(a)$  model air pressure at a particular altitude. You want to know how air pressure changes over time.

**Proof, first draft.**

As usual, we start with the definition of the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f \circ g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \circ g)(a+h) - (f \circ g)(a)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \right) \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\
 &= \left( \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} \right) \cdot g'(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a bit of a false start as we now have two problems:

- To get  $f'(g(a))$  in the first term, we need  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h}$ , but instead we have  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)}$ .
- $g(a+h) - g(a)$  may be zero for  $h \neq 0$ , so the division may be illegal.

However it isn't a total waste. Our false start gives us an idea for how we may proceed— we'll replace  $\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)}$  with something better. What could be the replacement? Let's hypothesize existence of a function  $\phi(h)$  with the following property (we will soon prove such a function exists):

$$\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} = \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h}$$

We can then rewrite our initial equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f \circ g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \circ g)(a+h) - (f \circ g)(a)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \right) \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) \cdot g'(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

To get to  $(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$  we need  $\phi(h)$  to possess one more property:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = f'(g(a))$$

Given this additional property, we can now finish our reasoning:

$$(f \circ g)'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) \cdot g'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$$

Thus proving the chain rule reduces to proving there exists a function  $\phi(h)$  with the two properties above. For cleanliness, let's start a new proof from scratch and demonstrate the existence of such a function.

**Proof.**

Suppose there exists a function  $\phi(h)$  with the following properties:

$$\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} = \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = f'(g(a)) \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)'(a) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \circ g)(a+h) - (f \circ g)(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \right) && \text{by property 1} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a) && \text{by property 2} \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof we must construct such a function and prove our construction has properties 1 and 2. We will do so now. Define  $\phi$  as follows:

$$\phi(h) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} & \text{if } g(a+h) - g(a) \neq 0 \\ f'(g(a)) & \text{if } g(a+h) - g(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

We will prove properties 1 and 2 hold for  $\phi$ .

**Property 1 proof.**

We now show  $\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} = \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h}$ . There are two cases: either  $g(a+h) - g(a) \neq 0$  or  $g(a+h) - g(a) = 0$ . Suppose  $g(a+h) - g(a) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} &= \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, suppose  $g(a+h) - g(a) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(h) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} &= f'(g(a)) \cdot \frac{g(a+h) - g(a)}{h} \\ &= f'(g(a)) \cdot \frac{0}{h} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

But  $g(a+h) - g(a) = 0$  means  $g(a+h) = g(a)$ , and thus  $\frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{h} = 0$ . Thus in both cases property 1 holds, as desired.

**Property 2 proof.**

We now show  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = f'(g(a))$ . Put differently:

- *Intuitively*, we're trying to show that when  $h$  is small, the top piece of  $\phi$  piecewise definition approaches the bottom piece (which we chose to be  $f'(g(a))$ ).
- Here is another way to frame it. Observe that  $\phi(0) = f'(g(a))$ . Thus showing  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = f'(g(a))$  is equivalent to showing  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi(h) = \phi(0)$ , i.e. that  $\phi$  is continuous at 0.
- Formally, we must show that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h| < \delta$  implies  $|\phi(h) - f'(g(a))| < \epsilon$ .

So, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

*Firstly*, since  $f$  is differentiable at  $g(a)$ , by definition of the derivative we have:

$$f'(g(a)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(g(a) + k) - f(g(a))}{k}$$

Inlining the limit definition, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $0 < |k| < \delta'$  implies

$$\left| \frac{f(g(a) + k) - f(g(a))}{k} - f'(g(a)) \right| < \epsilon$$

*Secondly*, since  $g$  is differentiable at  $a$ , it is continuous at  $a$ . Thus:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(a+h) = g(a)$$

Or put differently, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h| < \delta$  implies:

$$|g(a+h) - g(a)| < \delta'$$

*Finally*, we now have everything we need to prove property 2. Consider any  $h$  with  $|h| < \delta$ .

- If  $g(a+h) - g(a) = 0$  then  $\phi(h) = f'(g(a))$  so  $|\phi(h) - f'(g(a))| < \epsilon$ .



- If  $g(a+h) - g(a) \neq 0$  we can fix  $k = g(a+h) - g(a)$  as both aren't 0 and are less than  $\delta'$ . Thus we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &> \left| \frac{f(g(a)+k) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} - f'(g(a)) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{f(g(a) + g(a+h) - g(a)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} - f'(g(a)) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{f(g(a+h)) - f(g(a))}{g(a+h) - g(a)} - f'(g(a)) \right| \\
&= |\phi(h) - f'(g(a))|
\end{aligned}$$

I.e.  $|\phi(h) - f'(g(a))| < \epsilon$  as desired.

—

**Theorem 9a.** Let  $f_i$  be differentiable at  $f_{i+1}(\dots f_n(x) \dots)$ . Then:

$$(f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n)'(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f'_i(f_{i+1}(\dots f_n(x) \dots))$$

**Proof.** This is a fairly straightforward proof by induction. Skipping it here as I've already spent enough time on this chapter.

### 9.3 Derivatives of polynomials

We can easily find derivatives of polynomials using theorems 1-6. It turns out to be an interesting enough form that it's worth mentioning explicitly. Consider

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$$

Then:

$$f'(x) = n a_n x^{n-1} + (n-1) a_{n-1} x^{n-2} + \dots + 2 a_2 x + a_1$$

Continuing:

$$f''(x) = n(n-1) a_n x^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2) a_{n-1} x^{n-3} + \dots + 2 a_2$$

Repeatedly continuing this process we get:

$$f^{(n)}(x) = n! a_n$$

And of course for  $m > n$  it's easy to see  $f^{(m)} = 0$ .

## 9.4 Differentiation practice

Spivak spends a lot of the chapter covering concrete differentiation examples. I work through these here. First, a summary of the nine differentiation theorems proved above:

1. If  $f(x) = c$  then  $f'(a) = 0$ .
2. If  $f(x) = x$  then  $f'(a) = 1$ .
3.  $(f + g)'(a) = f'(a) + g'(a)$ .
4.  $(f \cdot g)'(a) = f'(a) \cdot g(a) + f(a) \cdot g'(a)$ .
5. If  $g(x) = cf(x)$  then  $g'(a) = c \cdot f'(a)$ .
6. If  $f(x) = x^n$  for  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $f'(a) = na^{n-1}$ .
7.  $\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)'(a) = \frac{-g'(a)}{[g(a)]^2}$ .
8.  $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(a) = \frac{g(a) \cdot f'(a) - f(a) \cdot g'(a)}{[g(a)]^2}$ .
9.  $(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a)) \cdot g'(a)$ .

You also need to know two trig derivatives presented below without proof (proper proofs will show up in a later chapter when sin and cos are formally defined):

$$\begin{aligned}\sin'(a) &= \cos a \\ \cos'(a) &= -\sin a\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to practice example problems.

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 + 1} &\implies f'(x) = \frac{(x^2 + 1)2x - (x^2 - 1)2x}{(x^2 + 1)^2} = \frac{4x}{(x^2 + 1)^2} \\ f(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 + 1} &\implies f'(x) = \frac{1 - x^2}{(x^2 + 1)^2} \\ f(x) = \frac{1}{x} = x^{-1} &\implies f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} = (-1)x^{-2} \\ f(x) = x \sin x &\implies f'(x) = \sin x + x \cos x \\ &\implies f''(x) = 2 \cos x - x \sin x \\ g(x) = \sin^2 x = \sin x \sin x &\implies g'(x) = 2 \sin x \cos x \\ &\implies g''(x) = 2 \cos^2 x - 2 \sin^2 x \\ h(x) = \cos^2 x = \cos x \cos x &\implies h'(x) = -2 \sin x \cos x \\ &\implies h''(x) = 2 \sin^2 x - 2 \cos^2 x\end{aligned}$$

Note  $g'(x) + h'(x) = 0$ . This is something we could have guessed—  $(g + h)(x) = \sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$ , thus by theorem 1,  $(g + h)'(x) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^3 \sin x \cos x \\ \implies f'(x) &= 3x^2 \sin x \cos x + x^3 \cos^2 x - x^3 \sin^2 x \end{aligned}$$

The next set of examples uses the chain rule (where sometimes the product rule could be used instead). For example,  $\sin^2 x$  could be interpreted either as  $\sin x \sin x$ , or as  $s(\sin x)$  where  $s(x) = x^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = \sin x^2 &\implies f'(x) = \cos x^2 \cdot 2x \\ f(x) = \sin^2 x &\implies f'(x) = 2 \sin x \cdot \cos x \\ f(x) = \sin x^3 &\implies f'(x) = \cos x^3 \cdot 3x^2 \\ f(x) = \sin^3 x &\implies f'(x) = 3 \sin^2 x \cdot \cos x \\ f(x) = \sin \frac{1}{x} &\implies f'(x) = \cos \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{-1}{x^2} \\ f(x) = \sin(\sin x) &\implies f'(x) = \cos(\sin x) \cdot \cos x \\ f(x) = \sin(x^3 + 3x^2) &\implies f'(x) = \cos(x^3 + 3x^2) \cdot (3x^2 + 6x) \\ f(x) = (x^3 + 3x^2)^{53} &\implies f'(x) = 53(x^3 + 3x^2)^{52} \cdot (3x^2 + 6x) \end{aligned}$$

We now consider a composition of three functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = \sin^2 x^2 = s \circ (\sin \circ s) &\implies f'(x) = 2 \sin x^2 \cdot \cos x^2 \cdot 2x \\ f(x) = \sin(\sin x^2) = \sin \circ (\sin \circ s) &\implies f'(x) = \cos(\sin x^2) \cdot \cos x^2 \cdot 2x \end{aligned}$$

And finally a composition of four functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = \sin^2(\sin^2 x) = s \circ (\sin \circ (s \circ \sin)) & \\ \implies f'(x) = 2 \sin(\sin^2 x) \cdot \cos(\sin^2 x) \cdot 2 \sin x \cdot \cos x & \\ f(x) = \sin((\sin x^2)^2) = \sin \circ s \circ \sin \circ s & \\ \implies f'(x) = \cos((\sin x^2)^2) \cdot 2 \sin x^2 \cdot \cos x^2 \cdot 2x & \\ f(x) = \sin^2(\sin(\sin x)) = s \circ \sin \circ \sin \circ \sin & \\ \implies f'(x) = 2 \sin(\sin(\sin x)) \cdot \cos(\sin(\sin x)) \cdot \cos(\sin x) \cdot \cos x & \end{aligned}$$

## 9.5 Sine polynomials

I don't think "sine polynomials" is a real name, but I needed a clever name for this section. Here we explore derivatives of functions of the form  $x^k \sin \frac{1}{x}$ .

**Claim 1:** Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is not differentiable at 0.

**Proof.** Using derivative definition:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h \sin \frac{1}{h} - 0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sin \frac{1}{h}$$

We saw in 8.3 that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sin \frac{1}{h}$  does not exist. Thus  $f$  is not differentiable at zero.

—

**Claim 2:** Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is differentiable at 0.

**Proof.** Using derivative definition:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2 \sin \frac{1}{h} - 0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \sin \frac{1}{h} = 0$$

Thus  $f'(0) = 0$ .

—

**Claim 3:** Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f'$  is not differentiable at 0.

**Proof.** Observe that:

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} - \cos \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos \frac{1}{x}$  does not exist (for the same reason  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin \frac{1}{x}$  does not exist). Thus  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(x)$  does not exist. And thus  $f'$  is not continuous, let alone differentiable at 0.