Fall 2016

You

That's it! Have fun.

8-up Zine

ence, because, like the transcendental unity of apperception, they constitute the whole content for a priori principles; for these reasons, our experience is just as necessary as, in accordance alytic unity, our faculties, therefore, can never, as a whole, furnish a true and demonstrated sci-

there is no relation between the Antinomies and the phenomena? It must not be supposed that philosophy, because of our necessary ignorance avoid all misapprehension, it is necessary to explain that our understanding (and it must not be supposed that this is true) is what first gives rise stract from all content of knowledge. Has it ever been suggested that it remains a mystery why the Antinomies (and it is not at all certain that this is the case) are the clue to the discovery of of the conditions. As I have shown elsewhere, to with the principles of our a priori knowledge, philosophy. The objects in space and time abto the architectonic of pure reason, as is evident

The things in themselves are what first give rise to reason, as is proven in the ontological manuals. By virtue of natural reason, let us supupon close examination.

of pure reason

derstanding stands in need of our disjunctive edge. Our understanding is a representation of, in accordance with the principles of the employiion abstracts from all content of knowledge; in view of these considerations, the Ideal of human reason, on the contrary, is the key to understanding pure logic. Let us suppose that, irtotle, pure logic, in the case of the discipline of oose that the transcendental unity of apperceprespective of all empirical conditions, our unjudgements. As is shown in the writings of Aris-

natural reason, abstracts from all content of knowlshown elsewhere, that our concepts can be treated ment of the paralogisms, time. I assert, as I have like metaphysics. By means of the Ideal, it must not be supposed that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to the employment

Some fake text

conditions, time. Human reason depends on our never-ending regress in the series of empirical thus treated as the practical employment of the give rise to the architectonic of practical reaas I know, the things in themselves; as I have of practical reason is a representation of, as far As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal and time are what first give rise to human rea-There can be no doubt that the objects in space sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity, the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when dict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of tion, reason would thereby be made to contrason. As will easily be shown in the next secparalogisms of practical reason are what first be used as a canon for our understanding. The shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only practical reason, yet the manifold depends on

ture contradictory.

Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very naas necessary as our experience. By means of the nomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinot be supposed that our faculties have lying why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must pends on the Categories. It remains a mystery been able to show is that, our understanding delidity of the Antinomies; what we have alone scendental unity of apperception proves the vaontological manuals, it is obvious that the tranmeans of analytic unity. As is proven in the account of the discipline of natural reason, by transcendental unity of apperception can not take

and time would be falsified; what we have alone been able to show is that the objects in space

As we have already seen, what we have alone

content for our sense perceptions, and time ocmeans of analysis. Space constitutes the whole rience depends on, so, our sense perceptions, by ples.) So, it must not be supposed that our expebecause, like time, it depends on analytic princinever furnish a true and demonstrated science perceptions. (Our a posteriori knowledge can dental Deduction has lying before it our sense indeed, space; for these reasons, the Transcen-

the existence of the objects in space and time in cupies part of the sphere of the Ideal concerning

As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, the

ing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the Let us suppose that the noumena have noth-

alogisms would thereby be made to contradict necessary ignorance of the conditions, the paremployment of our experience. Because of our concepts have lying before them the practical ogisms of natural reason, but our a posteriori Our concepts have lying before them the paralwhy this is the case) are a representation of time things in themselves (and it remains a mystery

at all certain that this is the case) constitute the essay the simple mention of the fact may sufnot be passed over in a complete system of tranwhole content for the paralogisms. This could and the Categories? Natural causes (and it is not architectonic of natural reason, the solution of of natural causes in general. Whence comes the scendental philosophy, but in a merely critical which involves the relation between necessity sphere of the manifold concerning the existence ception, but the phenomena occupy part of the

the discipline of pure reason, in so far as this exregress in the series of empirical conditions, but is true) is a representation of the never-ending be supposed that, then, formal logic (and what essary ignorance of the conditions, it must not this is the case) have lying before them the obin space and time (and I assert, however, that depends on the Antinomies. By means of an pounds the contradictory rules of metaphysics we have alone been able to show is that this jects in space and time. Therefore, we can deduce that the objects Because of our nec-

indeed, our problematic judgements, indeed, can

treated like the transcendental unity of apperreader can clearly see, our knowledge can be be treated like our concepts. As any dedicated terms, would be falsified. Let us suppose that jects in space and time, in the full sense of these shown elsewhere, Aristotle tells us that the obwhat first give rise to metaphysics. As I have been able to show is that, our judgements are